

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/020,287	Applicant(s) CHENG, ANDREW
	Examiner Ishwar (I. B.) Patel	Art Unit 2827

All Participants:**Status of Application:** Being allowed(1) Ishwar (I. B.) Patel. (3) _____.(2) Andrew Cheng. (4) _____.**Date of Interview:** 15 September 2003**Time:** 4.00 PM**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 10, 3 and 4

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Called the applicant to amend claims 1 and 10 to remove minor discrepancy to make the invention more clear. Also, as the generic claim was in allowable condition, asked the applicant to resubmit claims 3 and 4, withdrawn and cancelled as a result of restriction requirement, as both the claims were dependent from allowable claim 1 and have all the limitation of claim 1. A supplemental amendment submitted by the applicant. .